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The MauiDerm annual educational meeting, sponsored by Advances in Cosmetic and Medical Dermatology, aims to increase physician knowledge in the areas of both medical and cosmetic dermatology. MauiDerm’s world-renowned experts have discussed significant advances in the diagnosis, management, and treatment of both medical and cosmetic dermatologic conditions at a very high scientific level. This supplement to The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology is based upon the proceedings from the cutaneous oncology, psoriasis, and psoriatic arthritis sessions that took place at the 2015 MauiDerm Meeting.
Psoriasis Update

Psoriasis is an immunologically mediated systemic disease involving both the skin and joints. A growing understanding of immunologic pathways has created interest in treating psoriasis with targeted biologics, such as the well-known tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)) inhibitors etanercept, infliximab, and adalimumab. Two other TNF inhibitors, golimumab and certolizumab pegol, have been shown effective against plaque psoriasis in clinical studies of psoriatic arthritis.1 An important new small molecule for treating both psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis is apremilast, approved for commercial release by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in September 2014.

Apremilast is a phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) enzyme inhibitor that blocks leukocyte production of interleukin (IL)-12, IL-23, TNF-\(\alpha\), and interferon (INF)-\(\gamma\) and suppresses the immune responses mediated by Th1 and Th17.2 Apremilast was approved for both psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis.

In a phase 3, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 844 patients were randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive apremilast or placebo for the treatment of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis.3 Patients were treated with apremilast 30mg twice a day with or without topicals and ultraviolet-B phototherapy. Doses of apremilast were titrated during the first week of administration and again at Week 16 when placebo patients were switched to apremilast. At 16 weeks, more patients in the apremilast group achieved PASI-75 than placebo (33.1 vs. 5.3%, \(p<0.001\)).

A second phase 3 clinical study, ESTEEM 2, has not yet been published, but examines the use of apremilast in nail, scalp, and palmoplantar psoriasis.4 Adverse events in ESTEEM 1 were mild to moderate. In the placebo-controlled portion of the study, 55.7 versus 69.3 percent of placebo versus apremilast patients, respectively, reported at least one adverse event.3 It should be noted that no new adverse events were reported after Week 16, and the incidence of serious adverse events was 2.8 percent for apremilast versus 2.1 percent for placebo. The most frequently reported adverse events based on pooled data from ESTEEM 1 during the first 16 weeks were diarrhea (18.8 vs. 7.1% for apremilast vs. placebo patients, respectively) and nausea (15.7 vs. 6.7%, respectively). Other adverse events reported in ESTEEM 1 include upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, and headache. The discontinuation rates owing to adverse events were low (1.8% in apremilast group and 0.4% in placebo group). Apremilast was shown in another study to produce a slightly higher response rate in patients who had received no prior systemic or biologic therapy.5

Apremilast was associated with transient abnormal laboratory parameters, which investigators deemed as not clinically significant. Further studies will examine the effect of apremilast versus placebo on pruritus, weight loss, and psychiatric disorders. According to product labeling, in the 0- to 16-week placebo-controlled period of three controlled clinical studies, 1.3 percent of apremilast patients versus 0.4 percent of placebo patients reported depression.3 Product labeling also warns about weight decrease, the mechanism of action for which remains unknown. Patients with both high and low body mass index (BMI) may lose as much as five percent of total body weight.

With psoriasis, durable results remain a clinical challenge. Data extracted from a prospective registry in the Netherlands were analyzed for one-year survival with adalimumab, etanercept, and ustekinumab for so-called “happy drug survival,” defined as a score on the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) \(\geq\) at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.7 At baseline, 73 percent of patients could be considered “unhappy.” The percentage of treatment episodes with “happy” patients taking drugs increased to 79 percent after one year. Ustekinumab showed better overall drug survival than etanercept and a trend toward better overall survival than adalimumab.7

PSOLAR is a large, ongoing, international, observational registry of more than 12,000 psoriasis patients treated with biologics (infliximab, ustekinumab, adalimumab, and etanercept) and other agents. Cumulative rates are 0.46 per 100 patient years for death, 0.26 per 100 patient years for a major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE), 0.68 per 100 patient years for malignancy, and 1.50 per 100 patient years for a serious infection.8 Notable in these findings is that age was a significant predictor for all adverse events of interest. Compared to nonbiologic treatments for psoriasis, the use of biologics is not a significant
or greater versus baseline. Of these patients, 74 percent could maintain remission with treatment on weekends compared to 21 percent who maintained remission on a more frequent or continual basis.

Patient compliance is crucial to good results with topical therapy. In a randomized trial of 885 scalp psoriasis patients, patients were maintained on a formulation of calcipotriol (50 µg/g) and betamethasone dipropionate (0.5 mg/kg) either by using it twice weekly (Group A) or by using it on demand (Group B). After two weeks and at evaluations on 4, 8, and 12 weeks, both groups showed significant improvement over baseline, but at 8 and 12 weeks, Group A had a significantly improved clinical response compared to Group B (p < 0.05). Group A patients had a significantly lower relapse rate compared to Group B (19.5 vs. 41.7%, p < 0.001). Thus, even well-maintained patients may benefit from fixed scheduling.

The vehicle can have a substantial impact on how well and deeply the topical agent penetrates the skin. In fact, changing the vehicle may change the product’s potency, as is the case with mometasone furoate, a high-potency Class II topical when prepared in a 0.1% ointment, but is a mid-potency Class IV in a 0.1% cream product. Desoximetasone is another example, which is more potent as a new spray product (Class I) than previous formulations.

A number of new molecules for topical therapy are on the horizon; in some cases these “new” molecules are established drugs being reformulated for potential topical treatments. Data are not yet available for a new fixed combination of tazarotene and halobetasol for psoriasis. Topical halobetasol propionate 0.5% ointment is a Class I corticosteroid with demonstrated efficacy as monotherapy against plaque psoriasis. It may be combined with tazarotene without affecting the stability of halobetasol and may offer synergistic efficacy.

Calcipotriene combined with betamethasone dipropionate for pediatric scalp psoriasis was shown effective in a prospective observational study, including 84 treatment episodes. At 12 weeks, the Psoriasis Scalp Severity Index (PSSI) showed significant improvement with treatment (18.7% ± 11.8 to 12.7% ± 9.4) and this result could be maintained over 48 weeks of follow-up. This combination of calcipotriene and betamethasone dipropionate had previously been shown to be more effective in the treatment of adult scalp psoriasis than either agent in monotherapy. In an older multicenter study, combination therapy of halobetasol plus calcipotriene was tested against these two agents as monotherapies for treating psoriasis in adults. Patients used calcipotriene ointment 0.005% mornings and halobetasol propionate ointment 0.05% in the evening versus either calcipotriene or halobetasol ointment (as monotherapy) applied twice daily in a study of 127 patients with moderate plaque psoriasis. Efficacy was better for combination treatment (71% for combination vs. 57% for halobetasol alone and 30% for calcipotriene alone).

Principles of Topical Therapy: Maintenance

Strategies and Future Directions

Despite the growing academic interest in biologics, most psoriasis patients today are treated topically, and emerging topical therapies may offer important advantages in psoriasis treatment. Some of these new molecules and new formulations are discussed below, along with strategies to maintain remission in topical treatment of psoriasis.

When a patient is cleared with topical therapy, the prescriber must arrive at a strategy for long-term maintenance, such as a “drug holiday.” In a placebo-controlled study of psoriasis patients treated with betamethasone dipropionate in an optimized vehicle twice daily, 38/59 patients had 85-percent improvement or greater versus baseline. Of these patients, 74 percent could maintain remission with treatment on weekends only, compared to 21 percent who maintained remission with vehicle only. In an open-label, multicenter study of 121 psoriasis patients treated with clobetasol propionate intermittently over 14 days, 62 percent achieved clearance. When 132 of the cleared patients were put on a twice-weekly maintenance schedule, 75 percent of patients remained clear.

Patient compliance is crucial to good results with topical therapy. In a randomized trial of 885 scalp psoriasis patients, patients were maintained on a formulation of calcipotriol (50 µg/g) and betamethasone dipropionate (0.5 mg/kg) either by using it twice weekly (Group A) or by using it on demand (Group B). After two weeks and at evaluations on 4, 8, and 12 weeks, both groups showed significant improvement over baseline, but at 8 and 12 weeks, Group A had a significantly improved clinical response compared to Group B (p < 0.05). Group A patients had a significantly lower relapse rate compared to Group B (19.5 vs. 41.7%, p < 0.001). Thus, even well-maintained patients may benefit from fixed scheduling.

The vehicle can have a substantial impact on how well and deeply the topical agent penetrates the skin. In fact, changing the vehicle may change the product’s potency, as is the case with mometasone furoate, a high-potency Class II topical when prepared in a 0.1% ointment, but is a mid-potency Class IV in a 0.1% cream product. Desoximetasone is another example, which is more potent as a new spray product (Class I) than previous formulations.

A number of new molecules for topical therapy are on the horizon; in some cases these “new” molecules are established drugs being reformulated for potential topical treatments. Data are not yet available for a new fixed combination of tazarotene and halobetasol for psoriasis. Topical halobetasol propionate 0.5% ointment is a Class I corticosteroid with demonstrated efficacy as monotherapy against plaque psoriasis. It may be combined with tazarotene without affecting the stability of halobetasol and may offer synergistic efficacy.

Calcipotriene combined with betamethasone dipropionate for pediatric scalp psoriasis was shown effective in a prospective observational study, including 84 treatment episodes. At 12 weeks, the Psoriasis Scalp Severity Index (PSSI) showed significant improvement with treatment (18.7% ± 11.8 to 12.7% ± 9.4) and this result could be maintained over 48 weeks of follow-up. This combination of calcipotriene and betamethasone dipropionate had previously been shown to be more effective in the treatment of adult scalp psoriasis than either agent in monotherapy. In an older multicenter study, combination therapy of halobetasol plus calcipotriene was tested against these two agents as monotherapies for treating psoriasis in adults. Patients used calcipotriene ointment 0.005% mornings and halobetasol propionate ointment 0.05% in the evening versus either calcipotriene or halobetasol ointment (as monotherapy) applied twice daily in a study of 127 patients with moderate plaque psoriasis. Efficacy was better for combination treatment (71% for combination vs. 57% for halobetasol alone and 30% for calcipotriene alone).
alone), and combination therapy resulted in fewer cutaneous side effects compared to calcipotriene alone.\(^2\) In a study of 86 plaque psoriasis patients treated with twice-daily clobetasol foam plus calcipotriene ointment versus these agents in monotherapy, combination patients achieved significantly lower psoriasis scores (p<0.001) at two weeks compared to monotherapy patients.\(^2\) Adjusted trunk lesion scores were 0.67 for combination therapy, 1.40 for calcipotriene alone, and 1.13 for clobetasol foam alone. Combination therapy patients who remitted received weekday calcipotriene therapy and on weekends used clobetasol foam or vehicle for six months; during this phase, clobetasol foam trended toward greater maintenance of remission versus vehicle (92% improvement of trunk lesions vs. 62%, respectively).\(^2\)

Calcipotriene is available commercially as an ointment, a solution, a cream, a fixed-dose combination ointment product with betamethasone dipropionate, and most recently as an aqueous-based foam. In two identical, randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled, eight-week studies, 659 plaque psoriasis patients were randomized to receive calcipotriene 0.005% foam or vehicle, twice daily.\(^2\) Based on intention-to-treat analysis, treatment success in the first of the two studies occurred in 14 percent of calcipotriene foam versus seven percent of vehicle patients at eight weeks (p=0.058). In the last-observation-carried-forward (LOCF) analysis, calcipotriene foam was effective in 15 versus 7 percent of patients (p=0.034). In the second study, calcipotriene foam patients had significantly better results than vehicle foam patients (27 vs. 16%, respectively, p=0.016); using LOCF analysis, results were 28 versus 16 percent, respectively, p=0.010. Adverse events rates were similar between groups. Calcipotriene foam 0.005% was also more effective than vehicle foam on scalp psoriasis at eight weeks.\(^2\)

Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors are an important new class of drugs with potential indications for psoriasis as well as rheumatic disorders and several types of cancer.\(^2\) The Janus family kinases include JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and TYK2, involved in cell growth, cell survival, as well as cell development and differentiation.\(^2\) JAK inhibitors block the barrage of cytokines bombarding a given cell in an effort to have their unique signaling cascade impact genetic transcription of that cell. These bombarding cytokines include, but are not limited to, TNF, immune complexes, and T-cell antigens.\(^2\) JAK inhibitors block those bombarding cytokines; signal transducer and activator transcription (STAT) signaling pathways are activated by IL-2-produced cytokines,\(^2\) but STAT transcription factors make less promising targets for drug development as they lack enzymatic activity.\(^2\) Genetic mutations in the JAK-STAT pathway have been implicated in many autoinhibitory dysfunctions, including malignancies. Since psoriasis is associated with a plethora of pro-inflammatory cytokines, JAK inhibitors may offer promising new treatment options.\(^2\) For example, tofacitinib is a new small molecule for treatment of psoriasis and rheumatic disorders that primarily inhibits JAK1/JAK3.\(^2\) Originally developed as a selective JAK3 inhibitor for use in immunosuppression secondary to transplantations, tofacitinib was found to also inhibit JAK1 and was approved for treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis in 2012.\(^2\) A selective JAK3 inhibitor is in development for psoriasis treatment.\(^2\)

A novel JAK inhibitor (ASP015K, peficitinib) demonstrated dose-dependent improvements in moderate-to-severe psoriasis at six weeks with no serious adverse events (n=124).\(^2\) Ruxolitinib, a selective JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor is in development and may be useful in the treatment of psoriasis if formulated as a topical product.\(^2\) A potential benefit of JAK inhibition is that they can block signals from multiple (rather than single) cytokines on a cellular level.

Phosphodiesterase-4 (PDE4) inhibitors, such as apremilast, are small molecules that may benefit patients with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis.\(^2\) A novel compound (AN-2728) containing boron is currently being studied for its use in a topical formulation in treating psoriasis and atopic dermatitis.\(^2\) AN-2728 inhibits PDE4 activity, and, in so doing, suppresses the release of TNF-α, IL-12, and IL-23. Selective PDE4 inhibitors reduce inflammation in almost all inflammatory cells and inhibit TNF-α.

**What’s New in Systemic Psoriasis Treatments?**

Psoriasis is a T-cell-driven inflammatory cutaneous disease,\(^3\) but there is differentiation between resident T-cells and T-cells recruited from the circulation.\(^4\) Visually, nonlesional skin in the psoriasis patient may appear devoid of inflammation, but often contains an abnormally high number of resident T-cells in the dermis and epidermis, and these resident T-cells may have a TH-
Preclinical studies have suggested the existence of an inflammatory axis in which IL-17 and IL-23 play important roles. This notion is supported by the observation that an agent targeting the p40 antibody (shared by IL-12 and IL-23) improves psoriatic lesions. A summary of the cytokine and cytokine inhibitors associated with chronic inflammation appears in Figure 1. Second-generation biologic agents, such as adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, and certolizumab, for psoriasis treatment all target TNF-α.

Ustekinumab may be considered a third-generation biologic; it antagonizes IL-12 and IL-23 by way of its unique p19 subunit, leading to downstream effects. Secukinumab, an IL-17 antagonist, was shown effective against psoriasis in two large randomized clinical trials. A summary of remarks on new drug development appears in Table 1.

Secukinumab’s efficacy was related directly to its serum concentration, an observation with particular clinical relevance with regard to predicting therapeutic response. Recommended doses are 150 and 300mg, but the FDA recommended 450mg for higher-BMI patients, even though the 450mg dose had not been tested.

In a phase 2, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, 142 patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis received subcutaneous ixekizumab (10, 25, 75, or 150mg) or placebo at 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 weeks. At 12 weeks, PASI scores of ≥75% were significantly greater for ixekizumab patients at all but the 10mg dose: 82.1, 82.8, 76.7 percent for 150, 75, and 25mg, respectively, versus 7.7 percent placebo (p<0.001 for each). PASI 100% was achieved by 39.3 and 37.9 percent of ixekizumab 150 and 75mg patients, respectively, versus 0 patients in the placebo group (p<0.001 for both). Significant differences emerged by the first week and were durable through Week 20; adverse event rates were similar among all groups, including placebo, and no serious adverse events or MACE occurred. A subsequent analysis of study data explored whether achieving a PASI-50 score was predictive for achieving PASI-75 at 12 weeks and found a PASI-50 had 90 percent specificity and 83 percent sensitivity in this analysis.

In a 96-week, phase 2 study of brodalumab, 198 psoriasis patients were randomized to receive brodalumab 70, 140, or 210mg at Day 1 and again on Weeks 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 or 280 mg/month or placebo. The mean percentage improvements in PASI scores were 45.0 (70mg), 85.9 (140mg), 86.3 (210mg), and 76.0 percent (280mg/month) compared to 16.0 percent placebo patients (p<0.001 for all versus placebo). By Week 12, an improvement of at least 75 and 90 percent occurred in 77 and 72 percent of all patients, respectively, compared with 0 in the placebo group (p<0.001 for all).

Adverse events included nasopharyngitis (8%), upper respiratory tract infection (8%), and injection-site erythema (6%). As these drugs are also still standard drugs for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, this finding is particularly relevant as both conditions arise in about one-third of those with plaque psoriasis.

The number-needed-to-treat (NNT) can be a valuable real-world metric in appropriate prescribing, as it helps convey effect size. NNT may be defined as the average number of patients who need to be treated in order to achieve one additional good outcome; as such, it is the inverse of absolute risk reduction. Since many systemic psoriasis treatments offer evident results in about four weeks, there is little to no need for biomarkers to predict response.

**TABLE 1. A summary of new systemic drug therapies for psoriasis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRUG</th>
<th>CURRENT STATUS AND OBSERVATIONS</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab-Pegol</td>
<td>Developed for treating Crohn’s disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab</td>
<td>Antagonizes IL-23 by targeting the p19 subunit (not shared)</td>
<td>Pure IL-23 antagonist; adverse events include risk of serious infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guselkumab</td>
<td>Phase 2 dose-ranging studies resulted in comparisons to adalimumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidrakizumab</td>
<td>Phase 2 dose-ranging studied, good PASI-90 scores at 12 weeks. Antagonizes IL-23 by targeting p19 subunit</td>
<td>Well-tolerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boehringer-Ingelheim 655066</td>
<td>Novel monoclonal antibody, phase 2 proof-of-concept study with 58% achieving PASI-90 and remaining clear at 66 weeks</td>
<td>Subcutaneous injection, may need dosing only every four months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secukinumab, ixekizumab, and brodalumab</td>
<td>IL-17 antagonists</td>
<td>Secukinumab approved in U.S. for psoriasis in May 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The “stepwise approach” to psoriasis treatment was replaced by individualized care. In the old model, patients initiated psoriasis therapy with over-the-counter (OTC) products and gradually progressed, step by step, to prescription agents, phototherapy, and finally systemic therapy. Each new step was only taken if the patient failed the prior step. The emerging treatment paradigm still recognizes two categories of psoriasis patients (i.e., those who achieve good results with a topical treatment versus those who clearly need more), but rather than proceeding step-by-step through increasingly more aggressive therapies, the choice of therapy following topical treatment failure is based on the patient’s individual characteristics. Thus, it may be appropriate to move a particular patient from failed topical therapy directly to a biologic with no intervening steps (Figure 2).

Despite novel therapies, even in the year 2000, clearance was not considered a reasonable goal for psoriasis treatment. Fortunately, the attainable and reasonable goals for psoriasis treatment have changed drastically in the last 15 years. More small molecules and biologics for psoriasis are in the pipeline. Dermatologists will need a greater facility working with multiple drugs and managing more complex pharmacological treatments; patient expectations about therapeutic goals and product safety must evolve as well. In terms of systemic treatments for psoriasis, we are on the cusp of a renaissance.

Psoriatic Arthritis:

Key Developments in 2014

The prevalence of psoriatic arthritis in psoriasis patients appears to be about 30 percent based on the PREPARE study, of whom about 41 percent had not been previously diagnosed with psoriatic arthritis. Extrapolated from psoriasis rates, the overall prevalence of psoriatic arthritis may be as high as one percent of the population. In patients with both psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, the cutaneous symptoms may precede musculoskeletal symptoms by 10 years. Since joint damage may occur within two years of disease onset, timely and accurate diagnosis and prompt treatment are essential to maintain optimal function. Yet in a large, population-based survey of patients with psoriasis and/or psoriatic arthritis in Europe and North American, the average time between onset of psoriatic arthritis signs and symptoms and its appropriate diagnosis was five years. Of those with known psoriatic arthritis, 15 percent in North America and 22 percent in Europe had not seen a healthcare provider in the past year for that condition. Only about a third of patients (38% in North America and 37% in Europe) reported their psoriatic arthritis was most often treated by a rheumatologist.

Thus, there is an urgent need to shorten the time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis and treatment of psoriatic arthritis, because delayed diagnosis has been associated with poorer outcomes.

Polyarticular disease in psoriatic arthritis can be as severe as rheumatoid arthritis, although it is often not treated as aggressively. Powerful new treatments for psoriatic arthritis are available, although 31 percent of those with known psoriatic arthritis receive only topical treatments and another 28 percent receive no treatment at all.

A number of classification systems exist to help categorize psoriatic arthritis symptoms. The CASPAR Classification System requires that a patient must present with inflammatory articular disease (joint, spine, or enthesal) and have a score of ≥3 on the following: current psoriasis (score 2), family history of psoriasis, RF negativity, current dactylitis or history of dactylitis documented by a rheumatologist, radiographic evidence of juxta-articular new bone formation, or typical psoriatic nail dystrophy. The CASPAR Classification System is 91-percent sensitive and 99-percent specific for psoriatic arthritis, including early disease, and across various populations. Other screening tools include Psoriatic Arthritis Screening Questionnaire (ToPAS), and Psoriasis Epidemiology Screening Tool (PEST). The Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) has published treatment recommendations for psoriatic arthritis that are based on a multidisciplinary paradigm.

TNF-inhibitors have revolutionized the treatment of psoriatic arthritis. In this regard, it is important to note that biosimilars will also change how psoriatic arthritis is treated. Biosimilars have been studied in diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, but not psoriatic arthritis, yet in Europe, biosimilar approval encompassed psoriatic arthritis, although they had not been tested in this...
This may very well have less to do with medical science and more to do with healthcare economics, but dermatologists in North America may soon encounter biosimilars arriving here in similar fashion.

In determining appropriate dosing for TNF-inhibitors, the relationship of trough serum biologic concentrations and therapeutic response has been explored. In a prospective study of 103 consecutive psoriatic arthritis patients, patients were treated with adalimumab and their serum concentrations of antidrug antibodies (ADA) were recorded. ADA concentrations were significantly lower at 28 and 52 weeks in patients with detectable ADA levels compared to those with no detectable ADA levels. Patients with detectable ADA had lower adalimumab concentrations and significantly poorer outcomes compared to patients in whom no ADA could be detected.

An important therapeutic topic with possible public health and even political ramifications involves treatment discontinuation for patients in remission. For example, in a study of 76 psoriatic arthritis patients, 53 remitted and had their doses halved; at 29 months, 88.6 percent were still in remission. However, in a prospective observational study of 26 psoriatic arthritis patients treated with methotrexate or a TNF-inhibitor, treatment was stopped upon remission and 77 percent experience rapid flares (mean 74.5±52 days). Should a decision be reached to taper or discontinue biologic therapy upon achievement of treatment goals, the clinical team should develop a tapering plan, establish metrics for clinical success and failure, and account for motivations for discontinuing therapy (for example, whether this strategy was a payer-drive decision or a patient preference).

In FUTURE1, a placebo-controlled study of 606 psoriatic arthritis patients treated with intravenous (IV) secukinumab 10mg/kg up to a maximum dose of 150mg, IV secukinumab 10mg/kg up to a maximum dose of 75mg, or placebo, 50.0 and 50.5 percent of the IV secukinumab 150 and 75mg maximum groups, respectively, met the study's primary endpoint of an ARC20; 22, 16, and 4 percent, respectively, for ARC50; and 12, 6, and 1 percent, respectively, for ARC70. Data from that study and the subsequent PALACE2 and PALACE3 studies, found adverse events with apremilast occurred in about a quarter of all patients, with nausea and diarrhea the most frequently reported side effects. Unintentional weight loss of up to 5 or 10 percent of body weight occurred in about 10 percent of patients. Depression as a possible treatment-emergent adverse event occurred in one percent of apremilast and 0.8 percent of placebo patients.

**Update on Psoriasis Comorbidities**

There is a large and growing body of literature linking psoriasis to metabolic syndrome, atherosclerosis, and myocardial infarction. Obesity, an independent risk factor for psoriasis, has been associated with more severe psoriasis. Psoriasis is further associated with diabetes, major cardiovascular events, and chronic kidney disease independent of the traditional risk factors for these conditions. Although these comorbidities represent diverse phenotypes, they share the following pathological elements: chronic inflammation, angiogenesis, oxidative stress, and selected genetic components. Severe psoriasis is associated with an increased risk of mortality that culminates in approximately five years of life lost. Emerging data has compared cardiometabolic outcomes in psoriasis patients treated with systemic agents and phototherapy to rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Psoriasis is associated with an increased risk of diabetes that is independent of traditional risk factors, whereas RA is not associated with diabetes. Alarming, emerging pediatric data suggest that metabolic syndrome develops early. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in pediatric psoriasis patients was found in one study to be 30 versus 7.4 percent control (p<0.05). Moreover, the risk of cardiovascular mortality and all cause mortality in moderate-to-severe psoriasis is quite similar to the risk of these outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe RA.

The risk of cardiometabolic disease is so pronounced in patients with more severe psoriasis that patients with severe psoriasis are 30 times more likely to experience a MACE attributable to psoriasis than to develop a melanoma. Their 10-year risk of a MACE attributable to psoriasis is six percent, and psoriasis reduces their life expectancy by five years.

In a KC-Tie2 psoriasis skin-specific murine skin
model, aortic inflammation and thrombosis could be modeled. Skin-specific inflammation may drive vascular disease with aortic inflammation associated with psoriasis equivalent to about 10 years of aging.

Despite a robust body of literature in support of the metabolic and cardiovascular risks associated with psoriasis, particularly in its more severe manifestation, clinicians may under-screen and under-manage these risks in their psoriasis patients. For example, psoriasis patients are at elevated risk for hypertension and the prevalence of uncontrolled hypertension (among patients with high blood pressure) is 51.6 percent of patients in the general population, 53.9 percent for patients with psoriasis patients in general, and 59.5 percent of severe psoriasis patients. Yet dermatologists do not routinely screen severe psoriasis patients for hypertension.

Whether dermatologists should treat psoriasis as aggressively as possible to reduce cardiovascular risk remains an open question, and the relationship between greater psoriasis control and improved cardiovascular outcomes is the focus of several planned and ongoing studies. Some observational data suggest that methotrexate and TNF inhibitors for psoriasis may reduce cardiovascular events, but data do not exist for other treatment modalities.

The following emerging comorbidities associated with psoriasis may seem unexpected: sleep apnea, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), infections, chronic and end-stage renal disease, and peptic ulcers. Patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis have a twofold risk of chronic kidney disease and a fourfold risk of dialysis, independent of hypertension and diabetes. In fact, severe psoriasis patients are at a greater risk for chronic kidney disease than patients with diabetes and hypertension. Psoriasis is also associated with mental health conditions, such as anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation. Restless leg syndrome and atrial fibrillation have recently been implicated as potential psoriasis comorbidities.

These findings challenge the old notion that psoriasis is “just a skin disease.” Comorbidity research suggests that psoriasis is part of a larger systemic disease that confers substantial risk. Clinicians treating psoriasis patients should expand their standard screenings to include regular evaluations for hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular risks, and patients should be encouraged to keep up with regular cancer screenings, especially when considering immune modulating treatments. Clinicians should screen for infections, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) screenings should be performed in those with severe psoriasis. Psoriasis patients undergoing immune suppressive treatment should be vaccinated against influenza, pneumonia, and hepatitis B, and young psoriasis patients (ages 9–26) should be vaccinated against the human papilloma virus (HPV).

Zoster vaccine should also be considered, especially in older patients, but it is a live vaccine and thus should be given prior to initiating an immune suppressive treatment. Finally, it is well-known that a large subset of psoriasis patients will develop psoriatic arthritis. Psoriasis patients should be regularly screened for psoriatic arthritis and educated about its symptoms.

Cutaneous Oncology

Cutaneous Manifestations of Systemic Malignancy and Disease

Systemic malignancies often have a cutaneous presentation, presenting dermatologists with the unique opportunity to identify early clinical presentations of paraneoplastic dermatoses as well as other skin conditions associated with systemic disease processes. Disease categorization should be based on pathology. A categorization scheme might include papulosquamous disorders, interface dermatitides, reactive erythemas, neutrophilic dermatoses, dermal proliferative disorders, deposition disorders, and other (miscellaneous) dermatoses.

Papulosquamous disorders may routinely be seen in clinical practice, although there are also rare forms, such as acanthosis nigricans, acquired ichthyosis, tripe palms, Leser-Trelat sign, and acrokeratosis paraneoplastica (also known as Bazex syndrome). Interface dermatitides include dermatomyositis, paraneoplastic dermatomyositis, and paraneoplastic pemphigus. Reactive erythemas and neutrophilic dermatoses include erythema gyratum repens, necrolytic migratory erythema, Sweet’s Syndrome, and pyoderma gangrenosum. Sweet’s Syndrome is relatively common, but the underlying malignancies associated with it are less well-known. Dermal processes infiltrate the skin, but the following conditions are rarely seen in the clinic: multicentric reticulohistiocytosis, necrobiotic xanthogranuloma, scleromyxedema, cutaneous amyloidosis, and hypertrichosis lanuginosa acquisita. Certain more common dermatologic conditions suggest systemic disease and deserve greater elucidation.

Acquired ichthyosis. It is not unusual to treat ichthyosis in clinical practice, but a sudden onset of a new case in elderly patients (>50 years), particularly with a distribution pattern similar to ichthyosis vulgaris (that is, sparing palms, soles, folds, or flexures) suggests either an inflammatory response or an underlying malignancy. Acquired ichthyosis may present before or after a cancer diagnosis. About 70 to 80 percent of cancer associated with acquired ichthyosis is Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Acquired ichthyosis may also occur in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, multiple myelomas, and leukemia.

Acanthosis nigricans. Acanthosis nigricans lesions may appear on the neck, axillae, groin, hands, feet, abdomen, nipples, or lips. They are associated with a
variety of malignancies, including gastric, esophageal, ovarian, endometrial, gallbladder, lung, and bladder cancers, nearly all of which are adenocarcinomas and 70 to 90 percent are intra-abdominal (50–60% gastric). Acanthosis nigricans in conjunction with tripe palms is most typically associated with gastric cancers. Unfortunately, cancer is usually well-established by the time cutaneous signs appear. Successful treatment of the cancer may result in resolution of the skin lesion.103,104

**Tripe palms.** Although not commonly seen in clinical practice, tripe palms have an association with lung cancer. Tripe palms typically present either slightly before or around the same time as tumor diagnosis. When tripe palms occur together with acanthosis nigricans, they are associated with gastric tumors.105

**Acrokeratosis paraneoplastica (Bazex syndrome).** Older patients with no history of skin disease who present with sudden-onset psoriasis-like plaques may benefit from a biopsy, because what appears as psoriasis may, in fact, be psoriasiform epidermal hyperplasia, which will not respond to the psoriasis treatments. Untreated psoriasiform epidermal hyperplasia may appear on palmar surfaces instead of the dorsal surfaces more typical of psoriasis. A typical presentation involves symmetrically distributed lesions over hands, feet, knees, nails, elbows, and ears. The three clinical stages of the disease are:
- Erythrosquamous eruption that typically involves fingers and toes and may spread to nails
- Violaceous keratoderma on lateral aspects of fingers and toes
- Eventual involvement of trunk, extremities, and scalp

Bazex syndrome is most commonly associated with squamous cell carcinoma of the aerodigestive tract, but also with adenocarcinoma. Most skin conditions (60%) will occur a year before the tumor is diagnosed, but in about 20 percent of cases the tumor and the skin condition appear at the same time. Since the cutaneous condition often appears before the tumor is detectable, Bazex syndrome offers the dermatologist a “window of opportunity” to refer the patient for timely and potentially life-saving treatment. Bazex syndrome may resolve when the tumor is irradiated.102

**Scleromyxedema.** Scleromyxedema is characterized by an abrupt onset of waxy, erythematous skin-colored papules and nodules, sometimes in linear distribution, on the face, neck, chest, and limbs.106 A biopsy may be needed to differentiate scleromyxedema from plaque-like cutaneous mucusinosis.

**Dermatomyositis.** Idiopathic dermatomyositis is a prevalent skin condition, and it may be associated with malignancies in elderly patients (>50 years). The skin condition precedes the malignancy; tumors are typically diagnosed in a year. Older female patients with sudden dermatomyositis are predisposed to ovarian carcinoma, a cancer that otherwise often defies early detection. Thus, this skin condition may offer another “window of opportunity” for dermatologists. In many cases, treatment of the malignancy helps to resolve the skin changes.102

**Paraneoplastic pemphigus.** Paraneoplastic pemphigus is often seen in clinical practice and may include lip erosion, papules on the tongue, and involvement of the ocular orbits. The typical presentation involves pruritic polymorphous papules and patches on the trunk, leading to mucosal erosions, which may include the conjunctiva and genitalia. Severe palmoplantar involvement may occur with painful erosions at the fingertips. This condition typically affects the elderly, with an average age of onset of 59 years. In 75 percent of cases, this cutaneous condition may be associated with lymphoproliferative diseases (42% non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, 29% chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and Castleman’s disease). The skin condition will not resolve if there is underlying malignancy, even if it is aggressively treated.102,107

**Sweet’s syndrome.** Sweet’s syndrome is a neutrophilic dermatosis with cutaneous and often painful lesions. It may be associated with underlying malignancy, infection, systemic inflammatory disorders, or the use of certain medications. When Sweet’s syndrome is associated with cancer, it is typically a hematologic cancer, but it may also be associated with solid tumors in the genitourinary tract. In a retrospective study of 77 Sweet’s syndrome patients evaluated at the Mayo Clinic from 1992 to 2010, 35 percent had a malignancy.108 Of malignancy-associated Sweet’s syndrome patients, about 40 percent will be diagnosed with cancer within a month.102,109 Patients with Sweet’s syndrome should be screened for malignancies (blood counts, peripheral smear) and biopsies repeated, if needed.

Sweet’s syndrome has also been associated with chronic inflammatory conditions, such as inflammatory bowel diseases (including Crohn’s disease) and ulcerative colitis (UC).10 In contrast to UC and Sweet’s syndrome, Crohn’s disease with Sweet’s syndrome may skip areas on the mucosal wall. In fact, Crohn’s disease with Sweet’s syndrome will present with lesions typically in the perianal region in only about 10 to 30 percent of patients. These lesions or fistulae may be ulcerated and show a granulomatous pattern of inflammation. The lymph nodes may be involved and there may be other systemic sequelae. Metastatic Crohn’s disease is rare, but when it presents, its lesions may mimic those of erythema nodosum. Granulomatous colitis occurs around colostomy sites; this may lead to metastatic or localized Crohn’s disease.

In general, Crohn’s disease is associated with greater cutaneous symptomology than UC. UC presents with diffuse colon-rectal inflammation that is more likely to lead to serious complications, such as colon cancer, than Crohn’s disease. UC patients typically present with more overt and more severe gastrointestinal complaints, including bloody diarrhea, compared to patients with Crohn’s disease.

The pattern of inflammation with UC is usually observable throughout the colon, starting at the rectum...
and spreading proximally. With a typical onset in early adulthood, UC may be linked to underlying pathology, for example, inflammatory bowel disease. Cutaneous manifestations associated with ulcerative colitis include erythema nodosum, pyoderma gangrenosum, aphthous stomatitis, aseptic pustular eruptions, and pyoderma vegetans (rare). Apthous stomatitis associated with either UC or Crohn’s disease may be substantially larger, more painful, and more challenging to treat than other forms of aphthous stomatitis. Aphthous stomatitis will flare or remit based on bowel involvement. Erythema nodosum may occur in patients with either Crohn’s disease or UC (more common). Erythema nodosum is the most frequently observed skin manifestation of bowel disease in pediatric patients. Systemic steroid treatment may be appropriate with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for pain control.

Pyoderma gangrenosum is three times more common in UC than Crohn’s disease; about half of patients with pyoderma gangrenosum have UC. It usually initiates with a pustule that becomes a nodule, ulcerates, and then produces exudate. Pyoderma gangrenosum may appear at any site on the body, but favors acral sites. Treatment for pyoderma gangrenosum includes systemic steroids (such as prednisone 1–2mg/kg per day) along with cleaning, but not debriding the lesions. Patients can then be transitioned to dapsone, sulfasalazine, methotrexate, and other drugs, including biologics. Biologics may be able to address both the pyoderma gangrenosum and gastrointestinal symptoms. Healed pyoderma gangrenosum may scar with a unique almost “shiny” appearance.

**Pyostomatitis vegetans.** Pyostomatitis vegetans involves annular, pustular skin lesions with a “snail track” appearance, which may accompany, precede, or follow extensive vegetating oral disease. The cutaneous and oral lesions are manifestations of the same disease and are associated with UC.

**Erythema gyratum repens.** In this rare condition, patients present with polycyclic, geometric, and arciform plaques with a “wood grain” appearance. Erythema gyratum repens occurs twice as often in men as women and is one of the most specific paraneoplastic cutaneous conditions, in that it has an 82-percent association with malignancy, most commonly bronchial cancer, followed by esophageal cancer. In 80 percent of cases, cutaneous manifestations precede the tumor by as much as two years, offering dermatologists a “window of opportunity” for early detection. This rare, but clinically distinctive, skin condition may sometimes be associated with drug intake.

**Necrolytic migratory erythema.** Necrolytic migratory erythema may be considered an obligatory paraneoplastic syndrome, usually associated with a glucagon-secreting tumor of the alpha-islet cells of the pancreas. Cutaneous symptoms, which typically

### TABLE 2. The ILDS treatment guidelines for AK used this verbiage in its recommendations. Note that strength of recommendation and expert consensus (implications) are two different categories and not necessarily equivalent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WORDING</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>IMPLICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong recommendation FOR the use of intervention</td>
<td>“We recommend…”</td>
<td>††</td>
<td>We believe that all or almost all informed people would make that choice. Clinicians will have to spend less time on the process of decision making and may devote that time to overcome barriers to implementation and adherence. In most clinical situations, the recommendation may be adopted as policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak recommendation FOR the use of intervention</td>
<td>“We suggest…”</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>We believe that most informed people would make that choice, but a substantial number would not. Clinicians and healthcare providers will need to devote more time on the process of share decision making. Policy makers will have to involve many stakeholders and policy making requires substantial debate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recommendation with respect to an intervention</td>
<td>“We cannot make a recommendation with respect to…”</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>At the moment, a recommendation in favor or against an intervention cannot be made due to certain reasons, e.g., no evidence data available, conflicting outcomes, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak recommendation AGAINST the use of an intervention</td>
<td>“We suggest not to…”</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>We believe that most informed people would make a choice against that intervention, but a substantial number would not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong recommendation AGAINST the use of an intervention</td>
<td>“We recommend not to…”</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>We believe that all or almost all informed people would make a choice against that intervention. This recommendation can be adopted as a policy in most clinical situations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Precede the tumor, include erythematous scaly lesions which typically appear on the perineum, distal extremities, lower abdomen, and face. The clinical syndrome includes diabetes, macroglossia, anemia, unintentional weight loss, and diarrhea. While the cutaneous symptoms usually appear in advance of the tumor, patients often do not present for medical care until their symptoms progress.\(^\text{18}\)

**Cutaneous metastases.** The most common sites for cutaneous metastases are the trunk and scalp, and the site is often close to the site of the primary tumor. For men, the most common underlying disease is lung cancer followed by colon carcinoma; for women, it is breast cancer and colon carcinoma. The overall incidence of such cutaneous metastases is low (about 2–6%), and it may be considered in general as a poor prognostic indicator.\(^\text{19}\)

---

**The International League of Dermatological Societies Guidelines for Treatment of Actinic Keratosis**

Spearheaded by Dr. Eggert Stockfleth, Dr. Alexander Nast, and Ricardo Werner, the International League of Dermatological Societies (ILDS) guidelines were created by an expert panel of 15 dermatologists, three dermatopathologists (histopathologists), and one patient, with the goals of advancing a more widely accepted definition of actinic keratosis (AK), raising awareness among other disciplines about AK (for example, primary care physicians, internists, Ob-Gyn, plastic and ENT surgeons), publishing systematic assessments of the safety and efficacy of the available treatments, and improving the quality of care, including reducing the number of patients whose AKs progress to invasive squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs). The Cochrane review of AK interventions was evaluated,\(^\text{120}\) updated, and the Cochrane “risk of bias” tool was applied followed by use of the evidence-based GRADE system. Evidence was rigorously assessed using a forest plot. Results from the literature were presented to the expert committee, who, in turn, consulted in an online conference to offer their treatment recommendations. Recommendations were based on the scheme presented in Table 2. The steering committee drafted the recommendations, which were reviewed internally by the expert committee members and then subjected to an external review. The finalized manuscript has been submitted for publication, with the hope that this will become an international guideline.

As an example, consider a patient with a single AK. More than 75 percent suggested treatment with 0.5% fluorouracil and gave it a \(\uparrow\) recommendation. A patient with multiple AK lesions or field cancerization ≥50 percent was given a \(\uparrow\uparrow\) recommendation for 0.5% fluorouracil.

---

**New and Novel Uses for “Field Therapies”**

AK is often considered strictly as a field disease and is treated with field-directed therapies. However, subclinical AK may present with cells genetically similar to AK and SCC.\(^\text{121}\) Advanced imaging technology, such as cross-polarized light, fluorescence, and dermoscopy, may be helpful in identifying different types of subclinical AK lesions. Reflectance confocal microscopy and high-definition optical coherence tomography can provide high-resolution skin imaging and have the capacity to detect subclinical lesions noninvasively.\(^\text{122}\) The toolkit is robust and useful, but these technologies have some disadvantages as well, particularly in terms of cost.

---

**Photodynamic Therapy**

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) requires oxygen, a photosensitizer, and an activating wavelength of light. Cutaneous application of pro-drugs 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) or methylaminolevulinic acid (MAL; no longer commercially available in the United States, but widely used in other parts of the world) bypasses the rate limiting step in the heme synthesis pathway. This results in the preferential accumulation of the photosensitizer protoporphyrin IX (PpIX) within AKs, which can be targeted with activating wavelengths of blue or red light.

The pivotal phase 3 ALA PDT trial utilized a 14- to 18-hour ALA incubation period in order to maximize PpIX buildup within AKs, which peaks at approximately 12 hours. This prolonged incubation is impractical for the practicing clinician and patient. In a recent phase 2 study evaluating shorter incubation periods, patients were divided into the following five groups:

- **Group 1:** Broad area (BA) application of ALA one hour prior to blue light
- **Group 2:** BA application of ALA two hours prior to blue light
- **Group 3:** BA application of ALA three hours prior to blue light
- **Group 4:** Spot application of ALA two hours prior to blue light
- **Group 5:** Vehicle group

Study results found that the one-, two-, and three-hour incubation periods resulted in 35- to 57-percent clearance at eight weeks, 78 to 80 percent at 12 weeks, and 64 to 75 percent at 24 weeks, respectively, demonstrating that multiple treatments with shorter
incubation times per treatment were effective. To achieve around 80-percent clearance, at least two of these shorter incubation treatments are needed at least eight weeks apart.

Patient discomfort during PDT remains an important issue, with more than 60 percent of patients in the previously mentioned short incubation study reporting moderate-to-severe pain during treatment. A new approach employing 30 minutes of MAL incubation prior to 1.5 to 2.5 hours of exposure to daylight, which serves as the activating wavelength of light, has vastly improved tolerability while achieving individual AK lesion clearance rates of approximately 75 percent. In a report on three clinical studies, 92 percent of daylight-mediated PDT patients reported mild to no pain (defined as 0–3 on a 10-point analog scale), 7.5 percent said they had moderate pain (score 4–7), and one patient reported severe pain (8–10). There was no correlation between pain scores and efficacy. Unfortunately, these promising results are subject to variations in seasonal weather patterns and hence ambient activating light, so efforts were made to develop an indoor form of painless PDT (George Martin, MD, personal experience, submitted for publication). The concept involved applying ALA to the patient’s face about 15 minutes before the patient was exposed to one hour of standard blue light (BLU-U, Dusa Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) light, reversing the old paradigm of long incubation with short light exposure. Using a split-face study, painless indoor PDT (15-minute incubation/60-minute blue light) was compared to standard PDT (75-minute incubation, 1000-second blue light) in three patients. Both treatments were similarly effective and reduced AK count around 50 percent (painless indoor PDT had 27 AK lesions at baseline, 13 post-treatment, 52% reduction; standard PDT had a baseline count of 32 AKs, 18 post-treatment, 44% reduction). Pain scores on a scale of 0 to 5 (with 0 being no pain) showed the “painless” indoor PDT lived up to its name with a score of 0 (range 0–0) while standard PDT had an average pain score of 3.5 (range 3–4). While large, randomized, controlled trials are needed for this new painless PDT treatment model, initial evidence provided by this split-face study and experience in more than 100 patients utilizing the protocol as monotherapy or in conjunction with pretreatments using 5-fluorouracil or imiquimod suggests this type of therapy can be readily reproduced in the clinic.

Most AK studies compare treatments to a placebo rather than head-to-head. A recent meta-analysis (25 studies, n=5,562 total patients) attempted to evaluate the most effective AK treatments by comparing them directly using a complicated statistical model. With the exception of diclofenac 3%, all treatment options achieved at least 40-percent clearance and only one treatment offered 70-percent clearance (ALA-PDT gel). Thus, it is reasonable to say that many AK treatments are effective, but ALA-PDT seems superior.

There is a rationale for using PDT to prevent basal cell carcinoma (BCC), which can develop in older patients treated with X-ray therapy for acne, who are at risk for developing numerous facial BCC, or middle-aged patients with a history of multiple facial BCC. Thus, “preventative PDT” might decrease the risk of further BCC development. In case reports on six patients with basal-cell nevus syndrome, 20% ALA was applied to up to 22 percent of the body surface area for 24 hours under occlusion and then patients were exposed to red light for one to three treatments. Responses were durable up to six years (two patients had clearance for an average of five years). This study suggests that PDT destroys clinically visible BCC but also subclinical lesions as well. Localized PDT induces a systemic immune response involving the production of antibodies to HIP1 (BCC-specific associate antigen). In a study comparing PDT to surgery for BCC, these antibodies were produced within 7 to 10 days post PDT, but not in the surgical group. This supports the argument favoring preventative PDT therapy for patients predisposed toward multiple BCC.
Imiquimod

Most patients see AK lesions partially or completely cleared following a 2 week on-2 week off-2 week on daily application of 3.75% imiquimod (IM) to the face and scalp. An alternative and effective approach to limiting downtime and maintaining long-term efficacy involves daily application of 3.75% IM to the face for seven days followed by a two-week rest period and then continuing with a once-weekly application for over a year (George Martin, MD, unpublished observation).

Ingenol Mebutate 0.015% and 0.05%

Ingenol mebutate has dual mechanisms of action—it causes controlled cell death within a matter of hours and an IL-8 neutrophilic immune response over the course of the next days. Phase 3 trials treating only areas about 25cm² have resulted in good safety data with no scarring or hyperpigmentation issues. Since patients must self-administer this agent, it is very important for clinicians to set realistic expectations. The initiation of ingenol mebutate over larger areas than the approved protocol, while apparently safe and efficacious, may result in a temporary, but marked, exacerbation of symptoms.

Combination therapy. Ingenol mebutate may be combined with cryosurgery for treating AK. In these studies, combination therapy was more effective than cryosurgery alone at 11 weeks (60.5 vs. 49.4%, respectively) and at 52 weeks (30.5 vs. 18.5%). Thus, these cryosurgery results are not as effective in clearing AKs as combination therapy results.

High-risk Basal Cell Carcinoma and Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Recognition and Treatment

Basal cell carcinoma. Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common cancer in humans, and its incidence is on the rise. Although there are no official registries maintained, it is estimated that 2 to 4 million new cases occur per year in the United States. The incidence increases with age (median age of patient is 68 years, but younger patients are increasingly affected) and a disproportionate relative increase has been noted in women. Skin type and exposure to UV radiation remain the predominant risk factors leading to a mutation of the PTCH gene.

Certain BCC may be considered a low-risk cancer. In these cases, the histology of BCC is superficial, nodular, small in size, and with well-defined margins. Most BCC lesions appear on the trunk and extremities, rather than the face. These include superficial BCC, BCC with atypical basaloïd islands, and micronodular BCC. When dealing with these BCC subtypes, clinicians should bear in mind that biopsies may be too small to be representative of the cancer. A “thin shave” might miss deeper growth in certain nodular or micronodular forms of BCC. Furthermore, there can be “sampling errors” in that the sample may be taken from less aggressive regions of the cancer.

High-risk BCC exhibits an aggressive growth pattern, frequently recurs, tends to be larger, and may appear on...
the mask or “H-zone” of the face along the embryonic fusion planes. High-risk BCC often exhibits ill-defined clinical margins and may be associated with immunosuppression. It is not unusual to see these high-risk BCC occur at sites of prior radiation (such as the sites for X-ray therapy in acne patients). The histology of invasive forms of BCC appears in Figure 3. Tumor size may help define risk—high-risk growths may be considered those >6mm in the mask area of the face; >1cm on cheek, forehead, scalp, or neck; and >2cm on the trunk or extremities.

When treating patients with BCC, dermatologists should consider patients holistically, recognizing that not all patients are appropriate candidates for aggressive treatments. Since significant morbidity may be involved, all likely treatment options should be evaluated and discussed with the patient. In this connection, it should be noted there are no absolute indications for Mohs surgery.

**Squamous cell carcinoma.** Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the second most common form of cancer with over half a million new cases diagnosed each year. Men are about twice as likely to be diagnosed with SCC as women, and the incidence of SCC increases sharply with advanced age. In fact, among patients over 80 years of age, skin cancer deaths are more likely to be attributable to SCC than melanoma.131 Risks for developing SCC include chronic, long-term exposure to UV light; tanning; or ionizing radiation with a latency period that may exceed 20 years. Organ transplant recipients and other immunocompromised patients are at increased risk. Certain strains of HPV may also be considered risk factors along with exposure to certain chemicals, nonhealing wounds, lupus, genetic syndromes, and burns. In basic terms, anything that causes skin cells to turn over at an accelerated rate will increase the chance of replication errors and, in that way, raise the risk of SCC. Surgical excision is the first-line treatment for most forms of skin SCCs, but other treatments are available as well.132

Certain *in-situ* SCC may be considered low risk, such as Bowen’s disease or keratoacanthoma (Figure 4). Most of these lesions may track down to the follicle (Figure 5).

When treating these SCC lesions, curetting is helpful before performing the first Mohs layer. In a small, but significant subset, the curette will “dive” a bit deeper than anticipated, will not “scoop out” cleanly, or will encounter a gelatinous bottom. In such cases, a biopsy may be conducted. Note that shave biopsies may not detect poorly or moderately differentiated histology near the base of the lesion. Histology may change as depth increases.

More invasive forms of SCC may occur in the ear, lip, and genitalia. Poorly differentiated areas may require greater scrutiny, in that atypical single cancer cells may be “hiding” in areas of inflammation. Immunostains may be necessary with poorly differentiated SCC; multiple stains may be required to confirm spindle-cell SCC. The histology of these more aggressive SCC may include perineural invasion and they may be acantholytic, adenoid squamous, or desmoplastic. Perineural envelopment occurs when the SCC in the field, the nerve, and the area around the nerve are surrounded by keratinocytes. While not all SCCs are painful, perineural involvement may be associated with pain and paresthesia. These aggressive SCCs are characterized by rapid growth and may track back through the foramen into bone if left untreated. In advanced cases, the larger cranial nerves may become involved.

Patient education is an important element in care of the SCC. It is crucial that patients make the mental connection between their “skin problem,” on the one hand, and cancer on the other.

**Organ transplant recipients.** There are more than 20,000 organ transplants performed each year in the United States with approximately 140,000 organ transplant recipients (OTRs) alive today. The immunosuppressive pharmacological regimens taken by OTRs increase their rates of malignancy, in particular skin cancers.133 The relative risk increase for skin cancer in OTRs is a 3.4-fold increase for melanoma, a tenfold increase for BCC, and a 65-fold increase for SCC. Further, the metastatic rate from SCC in OTRs is seven percent and has been associated with a poor prognosis. Among OTRs, risks are elevated for older patients (with more cumulative UV exposure), longer duration of immunosuppression, intensity of immunosuppression, Fitzpatrick type I to III, significant history of UV exposure, and the type of organ transplant. The risk is greater for heart transplant patients, which reflects differences in immune suppression.

Treating OTRs with cutaneous cancers requires a special level of expertise and highly individualized care. Transplant patients must have a way to rapidly access the clinical team, for example, with emergency phone numbers.

**Metastatic SCC.** High-risk SCC accounts for up to 2,500 deaths/year. When clinically detectable nodal metastases occur, five-year survival is under 30 percent. The treatment for metastatic SCC may involve “watchful waiting,” adjuvant radiation, elective lymph node dissection, and sentinel node biopsy. Patients must be educated about the seriousness of this condition. For example, if an initial procedure gives them early positive results, patients should be counseled regarding the risk of recurrence, what “metastases” means, and the importance of surveillance.

**Treatment options for BCC and SCC.** There are a variety of treatment options for patients with BCC or SCC, which can be broadly categorized as surgical versus nonsurgical and those that involve or do not involve margin control. Among the nonsurgical options, one may include radiation, PDT, 5-fluorouracil, and imiquimod. Surgical options include curettage, cryosurgery, excision, laser, and Mohs surgery. Cryosurgery may be particularly
useful for superficial lesions, small lesions, or nodular BCC, and should be considered in patients who may not otherwise be good surgical candidates. Among the potential drawbacks to cryosurgical approaches are slow healing, hypopigmentation, and no margin control.

Curettage is an important procedure with several clear advantages for the busy dermatology clinic; it can be done quickly, the learning curve is short, only minimal equipment (investment) is required, and it has a cure rate of more than 90 percent. The main drawbacks to curettage are that occasionally there is unpredictable cosmesis, it may be associated with slower healing rates, there is no margin control, and it is not suitable for high-risk tumors. Curettage is indicated for superficial or nodular, noninfiltrative, well-defined, primary, smaller, low-risk BCC as well as for low-risk SCC, such as Bowen's superficial SCC. Curettage should not be used when dealing with recurrent tumors or tumors with full thickness into the subcutis. Curettage may be effectively combined with electrodessication, cryosurgery, or imiquimod. When curettage was combined with electrodessication, the overall five-year recurrence rates for treated BCC were 13 percent, with rates varying by size (8.5% for 0–5mm, 15% for 6–9mm and 10–14mm, 20% for 15–19mm, and 26% for >20mm).134

The excision of BCC offers good margin control, improved cosmesis, and more rapid healing with suture closure. The potential disadvantages of BCC excision are that the “breadloaf sectioning” may miss areas of the tumor, there is a longer learning curve, and considerable technical expertise is required for consistently outstanding results. Excision can be a time-consuming approach compared to other treatment options. For excisions, 4mm or smaller BCC and 4 to 6mm SCC are considered low-risk interventions, while interventions involving BCC or SCC 6 to 10mm are high risk.

Incompletely excised BCC present clinicians with the question whether to re-excise, in that tumor persistence was shown in a retrospective study to be about 28 percent.135 Recurrence after excision with a positive margin is about 35 percent, therefore, these are typically either re-excised or treated with Mohs surgery. For a select subset of patients, a watch-and-wait attitude may be appropriate in that the immune response may clear most residual cells, and the inflammatory process is stopped when the lesion is closed.136 Low-risk parameters include superficial or nodular subtypes, small size (<1cm), and location not on the nose or ear. Thus, most—but not all—patients should undergo re-excision or Mohs surgery because of the high rate of tumor persistence. Re-excision should also be considered for high-risk tumors and for positive deep margins.

Mohs surgery remains the “gold standard” treatment for tumor extirpation and is indicated for high-risk BCC. High-risk parameters include recurrent nature, larger tumors, aggressive histology, location, and incomplete excision.137 The Mohs surgical procedure is unique in that the surgeon serves as his or her own pathologist, the lab is onsite, meticulous mapping and horizontal sectioning are required, and the procedure looks at 100 percent of the tumor. The main advantages of Mohs surgery is its high cure rate, its tissue-sparing and structure-sparing benefits, and the fact that immediate reconstruction can be performed. The drawbacks to Mohs surgery are the fact that experienced technical personnel are required to support the procedures, which require additional equipment. Mohs surgery can be expensive and may take a comparatively long time. Recurrence rates for primary BCC can be one percent for Mohs surgery, compared to rates of 10 percent for excision and rates around 7 or 8 percent for electrodessication and curettage, radiation, and cryosurgery.137

Disruptive Technologies for Skin Cancer:
Brachytherapy and Superficial Radiation

Electronic brachytherapy (EBX) and superficial radiation will be important therapeutic options in the future because of their impressive and durable cure rates (87–100% at 2–5 years). Many cancers, including prostate and cervical cancer, have been effectively treated with EBX. In some instances EBX may be combined with 30 to 40Gy high-dose radiation (HDR) to optimize cure rates and possibly shorten the course of therapy. EBX is recommended for use in nonmelanoma skin cancers. In a study of 520 mostly BCC and SCC patients over the course of 10 years, investigators achieved a 92-percent cure rate. The local control rate for HDR brachytherapy is between 93.7 and 97.5 percent.

HDR brachytherapy relies on iridium-192 and can be performed, but EBX does not use any radioisotope. This
means that EBX still uses the high-dose fractionation, but is potentially safer with less collateral damage and may even be more effective, in that the beam is flatter and has less penumbra (less scatter). HDR brachytherapy can be performed in a dermatology clinic or physician’s office.

The workflow of HDR brachytherapy involves a radiation oncologist, a radiation therapist, and a physicist. A portable lead shield can be employed to protect the patient rather than lead walls. The patient enters the room and the machine is calibrated based on the patient’s individual dosing parameters. A typical treatment may take about 15 minutes. The course of therapy is individualized, but often includes twice-weekly sessions over a course of 8 to 12 treatments.

In a study of 187 patients (275 nonmelanoma skin cancers), the surface applicator was 10, 20, 35, or 50mm with a 2mm margin and a depth of 3mm (determined by computed tomography for thick lesions). Patients were treated twice weekly; dose fractionation was 5Gy for eight fractions, resulting in a total of 40Gy. The study population was 63 percent men, 97 percent Caucasian, with a mean age of 73 years. Types of cancer treated were predominantly BCC (n=159) and SCC (n=109). With a mean follow-up of 10 months (range 1–28), no recurrences and no adverse events ≥ grade 3 have been observed. Mild grade 1 dermatitis occurred in 84 percent of patients treated and 25 percent experienced grade 1 pruritus. The most common adverse event reported was hypopigmentation, which occurred in 10.9 percent of 46 lesions at one year (all grade 1). Cosmesis at one year was evaluated in 42 lesions, with 92.9 percent rated “excellent.”

Another treatment model is superficial radiation, in which low-energy photons (rather than charged particles) are delivered in a highly focused beam with the ability to penetrate 0 to 1cm. Treatment duration is about 90 seconds. The course of treatment (5 to 22 fractions) depends on the size, site, and type of tumor being treated. A key advantage of superficial radiation is that it can be performed in a doctor’s office or clinic, providing a radiation therapist carries out the treatment. In a retrospective, single-center study (n=1,715 histologically confirmed primary cutaneous BCC or SCC), most patients had multiple tumors and could select either Mohs surgery or superficial radiation treatment (5 to 7 fractions for a total of 35Gy). The recurrence rate for all tumors was 1.9 percent at two and five percent at five years; recurrence specifically for SCC was 0.8 percent at two years and 8.7 percent at five years. Recurrence was most likely to occur in men and tumors >2cm. Superficial radiation may be appropriate for older, frail patients; those with larger tumors in cosmetically sensitive areas, such as around the eyelids or in areas that do not heal well, such as the shins; or in patients taking blood thinners.

Reimbursement for these therapies reflects the high cost of the equipment and energy required and currently relies on a temporary code that will sunset in 2017.

Superficial radiation treatments cost substantially more than other treatments, raising a potential ethical issue. However, it must be recognized that reimbursement is typically more generous when a new technology emerges in medicine, with the rationale that it incentivizes practitioners to adopt new treatment modalities plus it allows Medicare the opportunity to gather data. As the technology becomes more entrenched and established, reimbursement decreases. Reimbursement differentials exist in other areas of medicine and even with Mohs surgery codes where Mohs procedures may cost many times more than traditional extirpation methods but can still be ethically selected in certain cases. The use of these emerging technologies may provide the patient with a better informed consent, in that patients can compare the proposed procedure to established treatments with demonstrated cure rates. While our healthcare system is moving toward cost-containment strategies, there is simultaneously a drive toward more patient-centered care paradigms. Skin cancer patients, such as cosmetic dermatology patients, prefer less invasive treatments and want to avoid needles, bandages, blood, and long treatment courses as much as possible. That is likely why EBX and superficial radiation are being offered at more and more clinics today.

Mohs surgery and dermatology procedures have already achieved high surgical cure rates. The evolution of field treatment of nonmelanoma skin cancers include outpatient and office-based procedures under local anesthesia, aesthetic reconstruction, and scar revision with laser technology. All of healthcare is evolving toward personalized medicine through molecular targeting, and in dermatology, minimally invasive radiation treatments appears to be an interim step in that march toward personalized medicine.

To advance these new treatment modalities, dermatologists and Mohs surgeons should participate in multidisciplinary brachytherapy societies.
Dermatologists should participate in multicenter clinical trials and launch dermatologist-led specialty societies for brachytherapy. These novel approaches should be incorporated where appropriate into our skin cancer treatment protocols. It would be useful to create a paradigm for the area-under-the-dose-response curve (AUC) for radiation therapies. There may be value in developing a menu-type system of treatment hierarchies and options with stronger “brands” and awareness of the particular benefits and risks of various treatment modalities. Within the next few years, dermatologists will have five-year data for these treatments, reimbursements will have decreased for EBX, and private insurance may start to cover EBX, particularly if there is patient-led demand for these procedures.

**Treating Basal Cells with Drugs**

The Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway is important in human embryogenesis, with reduced or altogether absent activity in adults. Aberrant Hh pathway signaling can result in developmental abnormalities of the brain, face, or midline. Hh pathways may be a factor in the development of certain malignancies and, for that reason, Hh inhibition may be an important treatment strategy for cancer care. About 90 percent of sporadic BCCs have a PTCH1 loss of heterozygosity and/or other mutations in the PTCH1 genes, resulting in PTCH1 inactivation and activation of the Hh pathway. About 10 percent of sporadic BCCs have activating mutations in the SMO gene, resulting in constitutively active SMO and Hh pathway activation (Figure 6).

Thus, aberrant Hh pathway activation has been identified in both hereditary and sporadic BCCs. For patients with hereditary BCCs, patients with basal cell nevus syndrome (BCNS) carry germ-line heterozygous mutations in the PTCH1 gene that results in loss of PTCH1 function function; such individuals may be highly predisposed to developing multiple BCCs. On the other hand, about 90 percent of sporadic BCCs have PTCH1 loss of heterozygosity, mutations in the PTCH1 gene, or both, resulting in PTCH1 inactivation and Hh pathways activation. About 10 percent of sporadic BCCs will exhibit activating mutations in the SMO gene that result in constitutively active SMO and Hh pathway activation.

Four agents are currently being evaluated for their use in mediating Hh pathway signaling for the treatment of BCC—vismodegib, sondigib/erismodegib (LDE225), CUR61414, and saridegib (IP-926).

**Vismodegib.** Vismodegib was cleared for market release in the United States in early 2012 by the FDA and can be considered a first-in-class drug. It is indicated for locally advanced BCC not amenable to surgery or radiation and metastatic BCC (formerly treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapeutic regimens). It is contraindicated for use during pregnancy. The commonly reported adverse events are muscle spasms, alopecia, dysgeusia, weight loss, fatigue, anorexia, asymptomatic hyponatremia, hyperglycemia, presyncope, pyelonephritis, and amenorrhea. The recommended vismodegib dose is 150mg orally once a day.

The mechanism of action for vismodegib has been described as SMO blockade, that is, it binds to SMO and in that way blocks the Hh signaling pathway in cells with either aberrant signaling driven by inactivating mutations of PTCH or activating mutations of SMO (Figure 7).

Vismodegib fits well into the dermatology clinic in that it has similarities to biologics, methotrexate, cyclosporine, and other drugs that require close clinical supervision. Side effects are predictable, although some, such as alopecia in women, may be treatment limiting. Serious adverse events have occurred. Vismodegib is a teratogen, and contraception is required for both men and women taking this agent. It may be used preoperatively to shrink a tumor or postoperatively. An important treatment consideration is duration and whether or not treatment might be interrupted (and possibly biopsies scheduled periodically). The author recommends treatment for 6 to 9 months with breaks for most patients, although some may benefit from treatment five days a week.

In an international, multicenter, two-cohort, nonrandomized phase 2 vismodegib study in 96 advanced BCC patients, patients were treated with 150mg of oral vismodegib daily. Among patients with metastatic BCC (n=33), the response rate was 30 percent (95% confidence interval, range 16-48, p=0.001), while among patients with locally advanced BCC (n=63), the independently assessed response rate was 43 percent (95% confidence interval, range 31–56, p<0.001) with 13 patients achieving complete response. Results endured 7.6 months for both cohorts. About 30 percent of patients experienced adverse events, including serious adverse events (25%) and seven deaths attributed to adverse events. In a subsequent study of the same patients offering 12 additional months of follow-up, the objective response rate increased from 30 to 33 percent for metastatic BCC patients and from 43 to 48 percent for locally advanced BCC patients; the median duration of response extended from 7.6 months to 9.5 months. There were no new adverse events reported.

**Sonidegrib/erismodegib.** Clinical trials are underway for dose-ranging and safety for patients with locally advanced or metastatic BCC being treated with sonidegrib (also known as erismodegib and LDE225). Sonidegrib is available in oral and topical formulations (0.75%). In a study of eight patients with nevoid BCC (27 lesions, total) treated with 0.75% topical sonidegrib or vehicle twice daily, among the 13 lesions treated with the active agent, three had a complete response and nine partial responses after four weeks. A quality-of-life study for patients taking 200 to 800mg of sonidegrib found both doses improved quality of life and had similar tolerability.
Large Congenital Nevi and Proliferative Nodules: What's New?

New recommendations have been published with respect to the categorization of cutaneous features of congenital melanocytic nevi (CMN), in particular, CMN of the head (enlargement by factor 1.7), of trunk and arms (enlargement factor 2.8), and of legs (3.3). These revisions may help better stratify risk and improve dermatologic and interdisciplinary communication. The phenotype observed is actually a reflection of the patient’s underlying mutation, allowing for more precise prediction of clinical behavior and possible therapeutic response. A number of activating mutations in RAS genes have been seen in large and giant nevi. Speckled lentiginous nevi are often due to somatic-activating HRAS mutations. Both large bathing trunk melanocytic nevi and nevus spilus type LCNM are often caused by activating mutations in the NRAS. Recently, some cases of LCNM have been reported to be cause by BRAF mutations, specifically BRAF V600E. However, NRAS Q61 mutations predominate as a cause of GCMN with or without NCM.

Proliferating nodule (PN) in GCMN. It can be challenging to differentiate proliferative nodules (PNs) from malignant melanomas (MMs) that occur in the setting of GCMN. PNs are more likely to develop in the first five years of life. Although typically benign (not melanoma), clinicians should consider deep, firm, or ulcerated nodules to be more concerning than soft, superficial nodules. Interpretation of biopsies of proliferative nodules and those in the newborn period can be challenging and these specimens should ideally be evaluated by dermatopathologists with experience in understanding the findings. Ancillary tests, such as genomic testing, are emerging, but remain of uncertain value in terms of disease management. PNs often manifest with a blended appearance of expansive nodules of epithelial cells. There may be considerable atypia and sometimes mitotic activity (malignant melanoma in GCMN have increased mitoses relative to PN and ulceration of the epidermis).

Some report that incidence of PNs to occur in 3 to 19 percent of CGMN. The estimated lifetime risk for melanoma developing in the setting of GCMN is approximately 5 to 10 percent. Overall patterns comparing PN and MM include the following:
- PNs are more common in GCMN than MMs
- MMs exhibit more mitoses than PNs (1.67 vs. 12.5 mitoses per square mm)
- MMs exhibit more ulceration than PNs
- MMs show more partial chromosome gains (6p25).

Phadke et al proposed a classification system based on histopathological interpretation in PNs, but recognized that their atypical features can make them difficult to distinguish from melanoma. Atypical PNs exhibited sharp demarcation, expansile growth, epidermal effacement, and increased mitosis compared to benign PNs. While molecular analysis of these mutations has resulted in the detection of numerous mutations, the practical diagnostic value of molecular analysis remains unclear.

Conclusion

The Maui Derm conference convenes every year to present the latest findings in dermatology, highlights of new drug and device developments, and new care paradigms for dermatologic patients in our changing healthcare system. The opinions stated herein reflect those of the authors speaking freely to their peers and colleagues.
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